

REMARKS

By the foregoing amendments, Applicant has revised claims 59-62 to replace the recitation of “one or more prospect lists,” which the Examiner found vague, with “at least one prospect list.” Also, Applicant has revised claim 17 to make it clear that it is the server computer that is performing the identifying to which that claim refers.

Additionally, Applicant requests that the Examiner reconsider her rejection of claims 59-62 based on the “second list group,” since a review of those claims reveals that its name distinguishes it from a “first list group” recited in those claims and that they do define that group as being one that “[consists] of at least one prospect list” identified in the way that the claim previously sets forth.

The foregoing amendments eliminate issues and place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant therefore request their entry and allowance of all claims in the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 1, 2007
Customer No: 25181
Patent Group
Foley Hoag, LLP
155 Seaport Blvd.
Boston, MA 02210-2600

/ Joseph H. Born /
Joseph H. Born, Reg. No. 28,283
Attorney for Applicants
Tel. No. (617) 832-1134
Fax. No. (617) 832-7000